EXHIBIT G



Transcript of Rick Darbut

Date: March 9, 2017

Case: Larrick -v- The Sheriff of Beaver County, Pennsylvania, et al.

Planet Depos

Phone: 888-433-3767 **Fax:** 888-503-3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

	ı
this was sent I didn't. Again, like I said,	09:39:55
anything that I was doing at that time was being	09:40:03
done through Jay Alstadt.	09:40:05
Q. And did you discuss this document with	09:40:06
Alstadt?	09:40:11
A. Yes, actually it was sent to him.	09:40:12
Q. Okay. And after you sent it to him,	09:40:14
did you discuss it with him at all?	09:40:15
A. Nothing further, no.	09:40:17
Q. Okay. And some of the documents we got	09:40:18
today, which are marked Exhibit 1, I wanted to	09:40:21
talk to you a little bit about those documents.	09:40:24
Are the first two pages of this packet	09:41:41
of documents we got today your notes related to	09:41:43
the Stevenson investigation?	09:41:47
A. Yes.	09:41:50
Q. And having a chance to look at these	09:41:51
notes, can you tell me what your process was in	09:41:55
investigating that complaint?	09:41:59
A. Well, in summary, what I did was I	09:42:02
interviewed Kayla Stevenson first, and then after	09:42:04
I was done interviewing Kayla Stevenson I went	09:42:07
ahead and interviewed, 'cause I had asked her, I	09:42:09
says is there anyone else, I says, that may	09:42:12
possibly be a person that could sit there and go	09:42:14
	anything that I was doing at that time was being done through Jay Alstadt. Q. And did you discuss this document with Alstadt? A. Yes, actually it was sent to him. Q. Okay. And after you sent it to him, did you discuss it with him at all? A. Nothing further, no. Q. Okay. And some of the documents we got today, which are marked Exhibit 1, I wanted to talk to you a little bit about those documents. Are the first two pages of this packet of documents we got today your notes related to the Stevenson investigation? A. Yes. Q. And having a chance to look at these notes, can you tell me what your process was in investigating that complaint? A. Well, in summary, what I did was I interviewed Kayla Stevenson first, and then after I was done interviewing Kayla Stevenson I went ahead and interviewed, 'cause I had asked her, I says is there anyone else, I says, that may

1	ahead and, you know, shed some light, you know,	09:42:17
2	in terms of, you know, what is going on with this	09:42:18
3	particular investigation. And she named Joe	09:42:21
4	Hamilton, Branchetti and also Rapko as people	09:42:23
5	that could possibly sit there and go ahead, you	09:42:27
6	know, give additional information on that. So I	09:42:30
7	had interviewed all four of these people.	09:42:34
8	Q. Okay. And in your interview with	09:42:37
9	Branchetti, can you tell me what Branchetti told	09:42:39
10	you?	09:42:42
11	A. Branchetti I believe used to, his	09:42:42
12	comment was something to the effect that Curt had	09:42:46
13	talked about going out to Las Vegas for vacation	09:42:49
14	and basically had, you know, that was really it.	09:42:51
15	You know, I think that, you know, he was used to	09:42:55
16	possibly going ahead and having a good time out	09:42:58
17	in Las Vegas and essentially at that point he was	09:43:00
18	looking to find out if anybody else wanted to go	09:43:03
19	to Las Vegas, to go ahead and go on vacation with	09:43:05
20	him.	09:43:12
21	Q. And with respect to Rapko, what did you	09:43:12
22	learn from Rapko?	09:43:15
23	A. Not too much. I think that was pretty	09:43:19
24	much an uneventful interview with him.	09:43:21
25	Q. And how about from Hamilton?	09:43:25
		i

1	7/16, this actually took place after the Ocean	09:47:44
2	City incident in Maryland with Cole and Curt who	09:47:50
3	was on vacation. And his son who went ahead and	09:47:58
4	contacted the wife at home.	09:48:02
5	Q. And how did you learn about the Ocean	09:48:05
6	City incident?	09:48:07
7	A. Curt.	09:48:08
8	Q. And what did Curt tell you about the	09:48:09
9	Ocean City incident?	09:48:11
10	A. I believe he even gave us a copy of the	09:48:13
11	report I think on the Ocean City incident. But I	09:48:15
12	know that he told the Sheriff's Department as	09:48:18
13	well, because I think he wanted to keep them in	09:48:20
14	the loop and let them know.	09:48:24
15	Q. And was any action taken with Larrick	09:48:27
16	with respect to the Ocean City incident?	09:48:29
17	A. No, if I recall correctly, the Ocean	09:48:34
18	City Police that investigated found that there	09:48:36
19	was no cause and that they were going to sit	09:48:40
20	there and go ahead and turn the incident over to	09:48:45
21	the, you know, local authorities up here to sit	09:48:48
22	there and investigate, but there was no further	09:48:51
23	findings as I understand.	09:48:53
24	Q. And if we can go to the next page of	09:48:56
25	Exhibit 1. Can you tell me what this is?	09:48:58

10:03:34 1 incident; is that fair? 10:03:35 2 Α. Correct. 10:03:38 3 And at the point in time of the Ο. 10:03:40 4 Stevenson matter, had Larrick provided any 10:03:49 5 information to the State Police regarding Sheriff 10:03:54 6 David? 7 10:03:56 Α. Curt had provided information to the 10:03:58 8 Pennsylvania State Police I think on probably 10:04:01 9 both occasions. 10:04:02 10 Okay. And to your recollection, what Ο. 10:04:06 do you recall the first incident with David that 11 10:04:13 12 led to court proceedings? What do you remember 10:04:16 13 about that? 10:04:21 14 Α. Sorry, I didn't mean to chuckle. I 10:04:26 15 quess it was, I guess it was the gun permitting 10:04:28 16 issue. I also understand that there was a 10:04:32 17 problem with the Dan Fleishman. He was a poll 10:04:41 worker I think. And there was an issue at that 18 10:04:45 19 particular point, comments that the Sheriff had 10:04:48 20 made to Fleishman. I think that was it. I mean, 10:04:55 21 I can't think of anything else at that point. 10:04:57 22 And do you remember what the nature of 0. 10:04:58 23 the comments to Fleishman were? 10:05:02 24 Um, it was at the poles. The guy came 10:05:07 up to shake his hand and basically George 25

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1	reacted, he said he was mad and basically at that	10:05:10
2	point told him he said you want to shake my hand,	10:05:14
3	I'd sooner cut your hands off and eat them.	10:05:17
4	Q. And with the later proceedings related	10:05:21
5	to Sheriff David, what do you recall about those?	10:05:26
6	A. The only other thing that I can	10:05:31
7	remember about where that progressed to, George,	10:05:33
8	when the first set of hearings took place and it	10:05:40
9	ended, George I think was confined I think to the	10:05:43
10	civil side of the Sheriff's Department. I don't	10:05:46
11	think he was allowed on the criminal side.	10:05:49
12	And what had happened was that during	10:05:52
13	this time elapsed, moving forward from the end of	10:05:56
14	that particular hearing, George, he was not	10:05:58
15	supposed to have anything to do I guess with the	10:06:04
16	weapons room, and basically he was in with John	10:06:05
17	Fratangelli, I don't know if I'm saying that	10:06:10
18	right, where I guess he was overheard racking a	10:06:13
19	shotgun.	10:06:17
20	Q. And are you aware if Larrick was	10:06:18
21	involved in reporting that?	10:06:21
22	A. Yes, actually I believe there was a	10:06:23
23	video of that which showed Curt out in the	10:06:25
24	hallway, which actually showed that taking place.	10:06:30
25	Q. Moving forward, just on Stevenson, is	10:06:38

10:12:14 1 as to what action should be taken? 2 10:12:16 Α. No, they took the action themselves. 3 10:12:18 They went ahead and they demoted him from a line 10:12:21 4 position back to Deputy Sheriff. 5 10:12:24 And with respect to the Ochs Q. 6 suspension, did you have input on that? 10:12:26 7 10:12:29 No, I don't remember anything Tom --8 10:12:33 no, I recall nothing. 9 10:12:34 MR. BLACK: Let's go off the record for 10 10:12:43 a second. 10:12:44 11 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the 10:12:45 12 record. The time is 10:12 a.m. 13 (Recess taken.) 14 10:24:32 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 15 record. The time is 10:24 a.m. Please 10:24:33 16 10:24:35 proceed. 17 10:24:38 Did Jay Alstadt ever discuss with you 18 10:24:43 that he wished to let Larrick go? 10:24:50 19 Α. There had been a discussion of that, 20 10:24:54 but it came right after the second trial that 21 actually took place, and I had informed Jay, I 10:24:58 22 10:25:01 said I thought that was not a good idea for the 23 10:25:04 fact that EEOC, I thought they would see that as 24 10:25:07 retaliation for potential whistleblower activity.

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

And do you recall when it was that

25

Q.

49

10:25:11

_	1
.)	ŧ

1	Alstadt came to you with relation to the first,	10:25:18
2	with relation to letting Larrick go? How soon it	10:25:25
3	was after the second trial?	10:25:29
4	A. May have been a couple weeks.	10:25:34
5	Q. Okay. Did he tell you why he wanted to	10:25:35
6	discuss with you letting Larrick go?	10:25:40
7	A. No, it was just passing comment.	10:25:42
8	Q. Did he tell you at all what the basis	10:25:44
9	was that he believed Larrick should be let go	10:25:47
10	for?	10:25:50
11	A. My recall was that the Sheriff at that	10:25:50
12	time had said that, you know, he would sit there	10:25:53
13	and be, you know, be interested in that.	10:25:58
14	Q. Did	10:26:04
15	MS. JONES: I'm sorry, I didn't get	10:26:05
16	that last. What did you say?	10:26:07
17	THE WITNESS: No, I said that the	10:26:08
18	Sheriff, you know, had told Jay that he	10:26:09
19	would be interested.	10:26:11
20	MS. JONES: I'm sorry, interested. I	10:26:12
21	couldn't understand that. Sorry.	10:26:13
22	THE WITNESS: Sorry.	10:26:15
23	Q. And did Alstadt provide you any	10:26:16
24	information what he or the Sheriff believed	10:26:20
25	Larrick was doing wrong that he should be let go	10:26:23